

Message Text

PAGE 01 USUN N 01104 01 OF 02 292219 Z

70

ACTION IO-13

INFO OCT-01 ARA-11 ADP-00 AF-10 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-09 H-02 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10

PA-03 PRS-01 SS-14 USIA-12 SCI-06 NSF-04 RSR-01 AID-20

EB-11 /188 W

----- 057565

R 292028 Z MAR 73

FM USMISSION USUN NEWYORK

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7224

INFO USMISSION GENEVA

AMEMBASSY QUITO

UNCLAS SECTION 1 OF 2 USUN 1104

QUITO FOR ECLA DEL ZAGORIN

E. O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: AORG, UN, TGEN

SUBJ: CSTD - ITEM 5(A) - GOALS AND TARGETS, 77 DRAFT

RES PASSED

REF: USUN 1089

1. SUMMARY: AFTER LONG DAY OF PROCEDURAL HAGGLING, DOCUMENT E/ C.8/ L.6 (GROUP OF 77 DRAFT RES ON QUANTIFICATION OF GOALS AND TARGETS) ADOPTED BY VOTE OF 26 (LDC' S) - 13 (WEO' S, US, JAPAN) - 5 (EE' S). VOTE FOLLOWED EXTENSIVE EFFORTS BY BOTH SIDES TO REACH COMPROMISE AND THUS AVOID DIVISIVE VOTE. END SUMMARY.

2. CSTD RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF AGENDA ITEM 5(A) (INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY-- GOALS AND TARGETS) ON MARCH 28.

AT OPENING OF MORNING SESSION, CANADA, SUPPORTED BY US AND SPAIN, PROPOSED THAT CSTD NOT TAKE A DECISION ON THIS AGENDA ITEM BUT INSTEAD REFERE ALL RELEVANT UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 01104 01 OF 02 292219 Z

DOCUMENTS TO THE COMMITTEE ON REVIEW AND APPRAISAL (CRA) FOR CONSIDERATION BY THAT BODY. VENEZUELA OPPOSED THE

CANADIAN PROPOSAL AND MOVED THAT CSTD VOTE ON DOCUMENT E/ C.8/ L.6, THE GROUP OF 77' S PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE IDS. CHILE ASKED FOR SHORT RECESS FOR CONSULTATION INDICATING SME LACK OF AGREEMENT AMONG LDC' S.

3. WHEN PLENARY SESSION RESUMED VENEZUELA WITHDREW ITS MOTION FOR A VOTE. VENEZUELA THEN PROPOSED, ALONG WITH PAKISTAN AND BRAZIL IN WHAT THEY CALLED " SPIRIT OF COM-PROMISE", THAT DOCUMENT L.6 BE FORWARDED TO CRA AS UNANIMOUS CSTD RECOMMENDATION THAT L.6 BE USED AS THE BASIS FOR DISCUSSION OF REVISION OF IDS.

AT THIS POINT US (KITCHEN) SAID IT US UNDERSTANDING THAT CSTD CANNOT PASS RES LIMITING US OR ANY OTHER DELEGATION FROM LATER RESUBMITTING RES IN ADDITION TO L.6 IN CRA OR ECOSOC. UK SUPPORTED US VIEW THAT US RES SHOULD ALSO BE FORWARDED TO CRA. USSR ALSO RAISED QUESTION RE LEGAL STATUS THAT L.6 WOULD HAVE IF ACCEPTED AS UNANIMOUS CSTD RES. SAID THIS SHOULD IN NO WAY PRECLUDE OTHER UN BODIES, COM. ON DEVELOPMENT PLANNING (CDP) FOR EXAMPLE, FROM ADDRESSING GOALS AND TARGETS ISSUE AND PRESENTING ADDITIONAL RES ON THIS TOPIC. USSR ASKED FOR LEGAL RULING ON THIS POINT.

4. BRAZIL, PAKISTAN, AND VENEZUELA STATED THAT VENEZUELA PROPOSAL WAS FINAL POSITION OF GROUP OF 77 AND, IF UNACCEPTABLE TO DC' S, CSTD SHOULD VOTE ON DOCUMENT L.6. SEVERAL MOTIONS TO VOTE ON L.6 WERE MADE AND WITHDRAWN. FINALLY, US MOVED THAT SESSION BE ADJOURNED FOR CONSULTATION. US MOTION APPROVED BY VOTE OF 16--9--11.

5. AT OPENING OF AFTERNOON SESSION, VENEZUELA CIRCULATED AND LATER FORMALLY PROPOSED FOLLOWING LANGUAGE WHICH DIFFERENT FROM LANGUAGE IT PROPOSED IN MORNING SESSION :

" THE COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLGOY FOR DEVELOPMENT UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS TO THE COMMITTEE ON REVIEW AND APPRAISAL AND THE ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL TO REVISE PARAGRAPHS 60, 61 AND 63 OF THE INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 01104 01 OF 02 292219 Z

STRATEGY ON THE BASIS OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REVISIONS CONTAINED IN DOCUMENT E/ C.8/ L.6." DC' S AGAIN BALKED AT ACCEPTING SUCH LANGUAGE AND PAKISTAN PROPOSED THAT A SECOND PARAGRAPH BE ADDED STATING THAT " CSTD FURTHER RECOMMENDS THAT ECOSOC TRANSMIT THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF CSTD REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE ON REVIEW AND APPRAISAL." VENEZUELA ACCEPTED THIS AND ASKED FOR DC VIEWS.

ITALY SAID THAT IT COULD NOT ACCEPT VENEZUELAN PROPOSAL.

SPAIN ASKED THAT WORDING " THE BASIS FOR DISCUSSION" BE CHANGED TO " A BASIS FOR DISCUSSION." CANADA REITERATED ITS PROPOSAL THAT ALL DOCUMENTS BE FORWARDED TO CRA.

6. GUATEMALA SAID THAT IT WAS EVIDENT THAT DC' S HAD REJECTED ALL EFFORTS TO FIND A COMPROMISE AND MOVED THAT COMMITTEE VOTE ON L.6. VENEZUELA AND ALGERIA GREED. US REMINDED COMT. THAT US DRAFT RES L.16 STILL ON TABLE AND APPEALED TO COMT. NOT FORCE ISSUE TO VOTE WHICH WOULD NECESSITATE NEGATIVE US VOTE ON ALL PARAS L.6. APPEALED TO COMT. NOT LET PARLIAMENTARY MANEUVERS TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER SERIOUS EFFORTS SOME COUNTRIES WHO TRYING AVOID FORCING GOALS AND TARGETS ISSUE TO DISRUPTIVE VOTE. PHILIPPINES NOTED THAT IDS WAS ADPTED BY CONSENSUS AND, ALTHOUGH IF ISSUE CAME TO VOTE IT WOULD " DO ITS DUTY AS LDC", IT DID NOT WISH TO SEE A VOTE. KENYA (AMB. ODERO- JOWI) APPEALED TO US WITHDRAW L.16 BUT ALSO APPEALED TO COMT. AVOID VOTE. GUATEMALA WITHDREW ITS MOTION.

UNCLASSIFIED

ADP000

PAGE 01 USUN N 01104 02 OF 02 292140 Z

70

ACTION IO-13

INFO OCT-01 ARA-11 ADP-00 AF-10 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-09 H-02 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10

PA-03 PRS-01 SS-14 USIA-12 SCI-06 NSF-04 RSR-01 AID-20

EB-11 /188 W

----- 057283

R 292028 Z MAR 73

FM USMISSION USUN NEWYORK

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7225

INFO USMISSION GENEVA

AMEMBASSY QUITO

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 2 USUN 1104

7. REPRESENTATIVE OFFICE LEGAL AFFAIRS ANSWERED QUERIES BY USSR AND US. UNANIMOUS ACCEPTANCE L.6 BY CSTD WOULD HAVE NO LEGAL AFFECT ON OTHER COMMITTEES. CDP, CRA AND ECOSOC COULD DEAL WITH NEW OR RESUBMITTED DRAFT RES ON GOALS AND TARGETS AS DESIRED.

8. SUBSEQUENT FRUITLESS DISCUSSION PRODUCED ANOTHER MOTION BY VENEZUELA (ITS FOURTH OF THE DAY) FOR A VOTE ON L.6. ALGERIA AND JAMAICA SUPPORTED THE VENEZUELA MOTIONS. THE UK AND PHILIPPINES OPPOSED. AT THIS POINT THE NETHER-

LANDS REQUESTED A RECESS.

DURING RECESS WEO' S MET. UK ARGUED PERSUASIVELY THAT COMPROMISE AT CSTD WOULD BIAS CR' S CONSIDERATION OF THIS ISSUE AND THAT FIRM STAND IN OPPOSITION TO ANY REVISION OF IDS WAS BETTER COURSE OF ACTION.

9. AT RESUMPTION OF SESSION, CSTD VOTE 24--14 (US)--3 (INDIA, MALAWI, ROMANIA) TO VOTE ON DOCUMENT E/ C.8/ L.6.

SUBSEQUENT VOTE ON L.6 WAS 26 (LDC' S, YUGOSLAVIA, ROMANIA)--13 (U. S., JAPAN, WEO' S)--5 (EE' S).

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 01104 02 OF 02 292140 Z

IN EXPLANATION OF VOTE LDC' S STRESSED LACK OF WILLINGNESS TO COMPROMISE ON PART OF DC' S LED TO VOTE LN L.6. DDC' S INCLUDING US ENUMERATED THEIR RESERVATIONS ON DESIRABILITY OF SETTING QUANTIFIED TARGETS. ALL PARTIES EXPRESSED DISAPPOINTMENT THAT THE ISSUE COULD NOT HAVE BEEN SETTLED BY CONSENSUS.
SCHAUFLE

UNCLASSIFIED

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 02 APR 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 29 MAR 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973USUNN01104
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: USUN NEWYORK
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730347/abqcdxzc.tel
Line Count: 190
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: USUN 1089
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: thigpegh
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 14 NOV 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <14-Nov-2001 by elbezejf>; APPROVED <05-Feb-2002 by thigpegh>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CSTD - ITEM 5(A) - GOALS AND TARGETS, 77 DRAFT RES PASSED
TAGS: AORG, UN, TGEN
To: STATE INFO GENEVA
QUITO
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005